

**Commission on Teacher Credentialing
Preliminary Report of Findings and Addendum**

Institution	CSU Fresno	Program	SCHOOL COUNSELING
Date of Review	January 8, 2021		

Please complete the table below for all programs that were deemed to require “more information needed” by reviewers during Program Review (PR). Brief narrative (less than 150 words) is allowable but response must include links to evidence that address the issue identified by the reviewers.

Posting the Addendum

Information from the addendum must be posted on the institution’s accreditation website at least 60 days before the site visit, along with the original program review document and feedback from the program reviewers. Please do not resubmit your response the items below; responses need only be added to your institution’s accreditation website.

Standards Found to be Preliminarily Aligned	Standards 1-12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 21-32
--	---------------------------------------

General Comments: Links in the Course Matrix lead to syllabi but not to the direct evidence of the standard, as requested in the Commission’s Program Review submission instructions. This makes it very difficult to discern direct evidence within the standards. The reviewers had to comb through the syllabi associated with each standard. Please correct links prior to the site visit.

Standards Requiring More Information	Comment from Program Reviewers	Response from Program
Standard 13: Collaboration and Coordination of Pupil Support Systems	Reviewers were unable to find evidence of this standard.	
Standard 15: Technological Literacy	Insufficient evidence is available to determine alignment for this standard.	
Standard 19: Academic Development	Reviewers need additional evidence or clarification of existing evidence for this standard. Please specify how candidates will	

Standards Requiring More Information	Comment from Program Reviewers	Response from Program
	<p>demonstrate the standard (i.e. create an academic intervention plan, provide counseling for academically at-risk students); the link to fieldwork does not provide clear evidence. Please also provide additional evidence or clarification of how candidates are assessed on their understanding of “the concepts, principles, strategies, programs and practices for enabling pupils to experience academic success and achieve at high levels.”</p>	
<p>Standard 20: Career Development</p>	<p>Please provide evidence of how candidates learn to evaluate career development programs in schools.</p>	