TIP Advisory Board Meeting May 16, 2012

HURSTENVANIE	LAST NAME	Signature
Donald	Beauregard	Don Bear de Gel
Tannis	DeRousi	James Dekredi
Richard	Firpo	Lich tingo
Leo	Franz	The Frank
Laurie	Gabriel	Paux Sa houl
David	Gonzalez	136/1/
Elisa	Jamgochian	Elist No
Marcia	Kraft	maria Kraft
Martha	Lomeli	Martia Tomek
Larry	Matthews	
Janell	Morillo	Janely mould
Anne	Murphey	A Murphy)
Barbara	Myers	Barbarat Myers?
Sherri	Nakashima	Them Makashina
Tannon	Pafford	
Vera	Pettus	Vera Lettus
Andy	Reistetter	
Maria	Rodriguez	Mark
Richard	Sparks	Janks.

TIP ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

May 16, 2012

- I. Teacher Internship Program Overview
 - A. Review Brochure
- II. Friday Evening Seminars (Fall '11-Spring '12)
 - * Classroom Management
 - * Working with At-Risk Students
 - * Culture of Poverty
 - * Strategies for Improving Student Behavior
 - * Legal Issues for Teachers
 - * Professional Ethics for Teachers
- III. TIP Selection Process (All Candidates Must Have Undergraduate Degree)
 - A. Admitted into the Credential Program
 - B. CBEST
 - C. CSET (Subject Matter Competency)
 - D. Prerequisite Courses
 - E. Two Letters of Recommendation
 - F. Interview/Orientation
 - G. All Interns Must Have Contract Offer From A School District
- I. The Role of Support Providers
- V. Budget Process with Fiscal Agent (FCOE)
- VI. Intern Classroom Experiences (from Support Providers)
- VII. Questions, Comments or Suggestions from Advisory Members

Adjourn

TEACHER INTERNSHIP PROGRAM (TIP)

ADVISORY COMMITTEE 2012-2013

Donald Beauregard Tanis DeRuosi Leo Franz Laurie Gabriel David Gonzalez Marcia Kraft Elisa Jamgochian Martha Lomeli Larry Matthews Janell Morillo Anne Murphy Barbara Myers Sherri Nakashima Tannon Pafford Vera Pettus **Andy Reistetter Richard Sparks**

donaldbe@csufresno.edu paulreruosi@comcast.net Znarf@aol.com 15 lgabriel@fcoe.org dgonza@sbcglobal.net mkraft@csufresno.edu ejamgochian@csufresno.edu marthal@csufresno.edu lmatthews giants@vahoo.com janelt@csufresno.edu annem@csufresno.edu bmyers6487@aol.com sherrin@csufresno.edu tpafford@fcoe.org 432-5088 candoandys@aol.com rksparks@hotmail.com

FresnoBee.com

School financing becomes big mess

Tuesday, May. 01, 2012 | 09:17 PM

Thousands of California teachers were given layoff notices a few weeks ago because state law requires the slips to be sent out each spring if administrators and trustees believe cuts are needed to balance their budgets.

Later this month, the districts must decide whether to continue or rescind those layoffs on the assumption that by then they will know the state of their 2012-13 finances.

That is problematic in any year, because the Legislature, which supplies most of the schools' money, typically doesn't settle the state budget until weeks or even months later.

A law passed by voters in 1988 is supposed to govern what schools receive, but its numbers are subject to annual manipulation, such as "deferring" payments for a year or more.

State and local school financing has dropped by about \$700 per pupil since 2008, and 20% of state appropriations are being deferred, thus requiring districts to use their reserves or borrow money.

This mess precludes even diligent officials from making rational decisions about staffing levels, building maintenance or other cost factors. At best, they can only guess and hope to adjust if they're wrong.

This year is even worse than usual.

The 2011-12 budget assumed revenues that everyone knew at the time were most likely falsely optimistic — and that has proven to be the case. The 2012-13 budget now being formulated will assume that voters pass Gov. Jerry Brown's tax package in November, and he is hinging passage on the false assertion that it will give a big boost to schools.

As the Legislature's budget analyst and others have pointed out, passage of taxes would give K-12 education only \$2.2 billion to catch up on some deferrals, not any new operational funding.

But if taxes are rejected, Brown wants school districts to lose that and an additional \$2.4 billion, and also be forced to eat \$2.6 billion in school bond payments now paid out of the state general fund. The total hit would be \$7-plus billion, or more than \$1,000 per pupil.

However, that's just Brown's proposal, and no one knows whether legislators will write a contingency plan for rejection of taxes. Nor does anyone know whether they will enact another Brown proposal to give more money to low-performing districts and, therefore, less to everyone else.

So, one wonders, how do school officials make valid decisions in May and June on teacher layoffs and other fiscal matters? They can't. So many, if not most, districts appear to be adopting worst-case scenarios. If they didn't and taxes failed, they would have to make midyear cuts.

If California voters and politicians had set out to create the most convoluted, irrational school finance system possible, they could not have done a better job.

Dan Walters writes for The Bee's Capitol bureau. E-mail: dwalters@sacbee.com; mail:P.O. Box 15779, Sacramento, CA 95852.