## Single Subject 155B Final Evaluation Form

Please complete this evaluation form for each candidate you are mentoring.

Candidate's First Name:  University Coach:  Grade/Content Area:			School Site:  Candidate's Last Name:  Mentor Teacher:  Mentor Teacher's Email:		
Criterion	Performance	Rating			
Maintaini ng Effective Environm ents –	Does Not Meet Expectations  1	Meets Expectations  2	Meets Expectations at a High Level 3	Exceeds Expectations	Score
TPEs 2.1, 2.3, 2.6	Expectations for, and responses to, behavior are limited to inappropriate for maintaining control of the class. Routines are unclear or ineffective.	Expectations for, and responses to, behavior are designed to maintain control of the class. Routines focus primarily on managemen t.	Expectations for, and responses to, behavior are designed to maintain control of the class and promote positive, fair and respectful treatment of students. Routines are designed to facilitate learning, not just	Expectations for, and responses to, behavior are designed to promote individual responsibility, multiple perspectives, and an inclusive environment for all students. Routines are designed to facilitate independent learning and regular student- to-student	

management.

interactions.

Monitorin g Student Learning and Making Adjustme nts During Lessons – TPEs 1.8, 3.2 Does Not Meet Expectations

0

1

No or limited monitoring of students and adjustment to the lesson. Focus is on external factors (e.g., time, schedule) rather than student behavior or learning.

Meets Expectations

0

2

Monitoring of students and adjustment to the lesson are focused primarily on behavior or lesson structure rather than student learning.

Meets Expectations at a High Level

C

3

Monitoring of students and adjustment to the lesson are focused on student learning and engagement.

Exceeds Expectations

0

4

Monitoring of students and adjustment to the lesson are focused on providing access to the content for specific students and encouraging active engagement by all students.

Subject-Specific Pedagogy – TPEs 1.3, 1.5, 3.1, 3.3, 4.4, 4.7 Does Not Meet Expectations

0

1

Lesson objectives and instruction are not clearly related to content or literacy standards. Instruction is: (a) ineffective or inconsistent with current subjectspecific pedagogy, (b) includes a limited variety in instructional and engagement strategies.

Meets **Expectations** 

0

2

Lesson objectives and instruction primarily address either content or literacy standards, focusing primarily on lower levels of learning. Instruction is: (a) consistent with current subjectspecific pedagogy, (b) includes a variety of instructional and engagement

strategies.

Meets Expectations at a High Level

0

3

Lesson objectives and instruction clearly address both content and literacy standards, including a focus on higher level learning. Instruction is: (a) consistent with current subjectspecific pedagogy, (b) includes a variety of instructional and engagement strategies, (c) provides opportunities for critical and creative thinking.

Exceeds Expectations

0

4

Lesson Objectives and instruction seamlessly integrate content and literacy standards, focusing on higher level learning and real world connections. Instruction is: (a) consistent with current subject specific pedagogy, (b) includes a variety of instructional and engagement strategies, (c) provides opportunities for critical and creative thinking, (d) utilizes a range communicatio n or activity modes.

Addressing Needs of All Students – TPEs 1.6, 4.1, 5.8

Does Not Meet Expectations

0

1

Instructional approaches are limited or inappropriat e for at least two of the following groups: (a) different levels of **English** proficiency, (b) students with identified special needs, (c) students with different instructional needs.

Meets Expectations

0

2

Instructional approaches are generally appropriate for at least two of the following groups: (a) different levels of English proficiency, (b) students with identified special needs, (c) students with different instructional needs.

Meets
Expectations
at a High
Level

0

3

Instructional approaches are specifically aligned with the needs of at least two of the following groups: (a) different levels of English proficiency, (b) students with identified special needs, (c) students with different instructional needs.

Exceeds Expectations

0

4

Instructional approaches are specifically aligned with the needs of all the following groups: (a) different levels of English proficiency, (b) students with identified special needs, (c) students with different instructional needs.

Assessment – TPEs 4.3, 5.2

Does Not Meet Expectations

## C

1

Assessments : (a) lack congruence with learning outcomes and level of learning (e.g., DOK level), (b) include little or no attention to the assessment of content knowledge or literacy skills, (c) reflect a "one size fits all" method of assessment.

Meets Expectations



2

Assessments: (a) are congruent with learning objectives in either content or level of learning (e.g., DOK level), (b) primarily assess either content knowledge or literacy skills, (c) reflect some variety of methods for students to demonstrate learning, (d) primarily assess lower level learning. Meets Expectations at a High Level



3

Assessments: (a) are congruent with learning objectives in content and level of learning (e.g., DOK level), (b) include assessment of both content knowledge and literacy skills, (c) reflect a variety of methods for students to demonstrate learning, (d) include assessment of higher level thinking (e.g., complex task).

Exceeds Expectations



4

Assessments: (a) are clearly congruent with the learning outcomes in both content and level of learning (e.g., DOK level), (b) include specific attention to the assessment of integrated content knowledge and literacy skills, (c) reflect a variety of methods for students to demonstrate learning, (d) include those requiring an integration of knowledge, skills, and reasoning, (e) include student choice or ways to demonstrate learning.

Rubric S	core:
----------	-------

_	•		_	-	
"	'0+0cc	IODAL	Com	natan	CIOCI
-1	01622	ichiai	COIL	peten	CIES.

	Yes	No
Takes initiative.		
Handles information about children, peers, families, colleagues, and supervisors ethically.		
Accepts criticism and suggestions from the Master Teacher and/or University Supervisor.		
Is punctual (arrives on time, submits assignments in a timely manner, etc.)		
Respects the attitudes and opinions of others.		
Has attended a professional conference, in-service, or meeting.		
University Coach Comments (Candidate's strengths, areas for growth, other comm	ents):	

Mentor Teacher Comments (candidate's strengths, areas for growth, other comments):				
Optional Second Mentor's Comments (candidate's strengths, areas for growth, other comments):				
Teacher Candidate Comments:				
University Coach's Signature:				
Teacher Candidate's Signature:				
Mentor Teacher's Signature:				
Second Mentor's Signature (optional):				

Yes, I recommend the Candidate to complete the program.

**Enter date University Coach verified the Time Log:** 

**University Coach's Recommendation:** 

No, I do not recommend the Candidate to complete the program (please submit a Statement of Concern form).